

Hopfological algebra and categorification at a root of unity: the first steps

Mikhail Khovanov

September 6, 2005

Contents

1	Hopfological algebra	1
2	Examples	13
3	A categorification of the cyclotomic ring	17
4	Nilpotent derivations	23
5	References	28

Abstract

Any finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H is Frobenius and the stable category of H -modules is triangulated monoidal. To H -comodule algebras we assign triangulated module-categories over the stable category of H -modules. These module-categories are generalizations of homotopy and derived categories of modules over a differential graded algebra. We expect that, for suitable H , our construction could be a starting point in the program of categorifying quantum invariants of 3-manifolds.

1 Hopfological algebra

Introduction. Two of the better known examples of triangulated categories are the category of complexes of modules over a ring A , up to chain homotopy, and the derived category $D(A\text{-mod})$. The first category is the quotient of the abelian category of complexes of A -modules by the ideal of

null-homotopic morphisms. The derived category is the localization of the homotopy category given by inverting quasi-isomorphisms (maps that induce isomorphisms on cohomology).

Stable categories provide more exotic examples of triangulated categories. Recall that a finite-dimensional algebra H over a field k is called *Frobenius* if it has a non-degenerate trace $\text{tr} : H \rightarrow k$. A (left) module over a Frobenius algebra is injective if and only if it is projective. The stable category $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is the quotient of the category of H -modules by morphisms that factor through an injective module. This category is triangulated (see [Ha]). A finite-dimensional Hopf algebra is Frobenius, and its stable category carries both triangular and monoidal structures.

In this paper we consider a new class of triangulated categories, associated to comodule-algebras over finite-dimensional Hopf algebras. This class includes stable categories of modules over Hopf algebras, as well as homotopy and derived categories of modules over algebras. We refer to the study of triangulated categories from this class as *Hopfological algebra*, for it being a mix of homological algebra and the theory of Hopf algebras.

We were originally inspired by the problem of categorifying quantum invariants of 3-manifolds. In Sections 3 and 4 of this paper we suggest an approach to this problem via triangulated module-categories over suitable stable categories $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. A topologically inclined reader might prefer to jump to these sections after reading the next subsection.

Hopf algebra and its stable category. Let H be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over a field k . A left integral $\Lambda \in H$ is a nonzero element of H with the property $h\Lambda = \epsilon(h)\Lambda$ for any $h \in H$, where ϵ is the counit of H . A theorem of Larson and Sweedler [LS], [Mo, Section 2] says that a left integral exists and is unique up to multiplication by invertible elements of the ground field k . From now on we fix a left integral Λ .

The category $H - \text{mod}$ of left H -modules is monoidal, with H acting on the tensor product $M \otimes N$ of H -modules via the comultiplication Δ . Unless specified otherwise, all tensor products are taken over k .

Proposition 1 *H is a Frobenius algebra. An H -module is projective if and only if it is injective.*

Proof of the first claim can be found in [LS] or [Mo, Section 2]. For a proof that projective modules over a Frobenius algebra coincide with injective modules see [L, Chapters 3, 15, 16] (most of the other textbooks that cover Frobenius algebras prove this result only for finite-dimensional modules).

Define the stable category $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ as the quotient of $H - \text{mod}$ by the ideal of morphisms that factor through an injective (=projective) module.

This category is triangulated, with the shift functor given by $TM = I/M$ where $M \subset I$ is an inclusion of M as a submodule of an injective module. In general, for any Frobenius algebra B , the stable category $B - \underline{\text{mod}}$ has a triangular structure, with the shift functor as above, see [Ha]. The stable category $B - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is trivial if and only if B is semisimple.

Proposition 2 *The tensor product $H \otimes M$ of the free H -module H and an H -module M is free of rank equal the dimension of M . The tensor product $P \otimes M$ of a projective H -module P with an H -module M is projective.*

The first statement is very well-known, see [Mo] or any other textbook on Hopf algebras, or proof of lemma 2 below. The second statement follows at once from the first. $\square$

In particular, any H -module M admits a canonical embedding into a projective module, via the map $M \rightarrow H \otimes M$ given by $m \mapsto \Lambda \otimes m$. Notice that $H\Lambda = k\Lambda$ is a one-dimensional submodule of H . Thus, we can define the shift functor

$$H - \underline{\text{mod}} \xrightarrow{T} H - \underline{\text{mod}}$$

by $TM = (H/k\Lambda) \otimes M$.

Proposition 2 also implies that tensor product of H -modules descends to a bifunctor in the stable category $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and makes the stable category monoidal. The triangular and monoidal structures of $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ are compatible. For instance, tensoring with an H -module takes distinguished triangles to distinguished triangles, and there are isomorphisms

$$(TM) \otimes N \cong T(M \otimes N) \cong M \otimes TN.$$

We will say, informally, that $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is a triangulated monoidal category, without giving a definition of the latter.

The category $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ has a symmetric monoidal structure for cocommutative H . Only this case seems to have been thoroughly studied. Stable categories $k[G] - \underline{\text{mod}}$ appear in the modular representation theory of finite groups G , see [Ca], [BCR]. Voevodsky's working definition of a symmetric monoidal triangulated category [V], [MVW] was refined by May [Ma] (also see [KN]). For a relation between symmetric monoidal triangulated categories and algebraic topology we refer the reader to Strickland [St] and references therein.

Comodule-algebras and module-categories. A left H -comodule algebra A is an associative k -algebra equipped with a map

$$\Delta_A : A \longrightarrow H \otimes A$$

making A an H -comodule and such that Δ_A is a map of algebras (where $H \otimes A$ has the product algebra structure). Equivalently, the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon \otimes \text{Id})\Delta_A &= \text{Id}, & (\Delta \otimes \text{Id})\Delta_A &= (\text{Id} \otimes \Delta_A)\Delta_A, \\ \Delta_A(1) &= 1 \otimes 1, & \Delta_A(ab) &= \Delta_A(a)\Delta_A(b). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A\text{-mod}$ be the category of left A -modules. The tensor product $V \otimes M$ of an H -module V and an A -module M is naturally an A -module, via Δ_A . Therefore, this tensor product gives rise to a bifunctor

$$H\text{-mod} \times A\text{-mod} \longrightarrow A\text{-mod}$$

compatible with the monoidal structure of $H\text{-mod}$, and makes $A\text{-mod}$ into a module-category over $H\text{-mod}$.

Let $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ be the quotient of $A\text{-mod}$ by the ideal of morphisms that factor through an A -module of the form $H \otimes N$. In more detail, we call a morphism $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ of A -modules *null-homotopic* if there exists an A -module N and a decomposition $f = g_2 g_1$ with

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} H \otimes N \xrightarrow{g_2} M_2.$$

The set of null-homotopic morphisms forms an ideal of $A\text{-mod}$. The quotient category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ by this ideal has the same objects as $A\text{-mod}$, while the k -vector space of morphisms in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ between objects M_1, M_2 is the quotient of $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-mod}}(M_1, M_2)$ by the subspace of null-homotopic morphisms.

It's clear that $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is a module-category over $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$, for the tensor product descends to a bifunctor

$$H - \underline{\text{mod}} \times A_H - \underline{\text{mod}} \longrightarrow A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$$

compatible with the monoidal structure of $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

Examples:

(a) If $A = H$, with the standard H -comodule structure (via the comultiplication in H), then $H_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is equivalent to $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

(b) $A \subset H$ is a Hopf subalgebra, and $\Delta_A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A \subset H \otimes A$ is the comultiplication in A . Since H is a free A -module, the categories $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and $A - \underline{\text{mod}}$ are equivalent.

(c) If A is a trivial H -comodule algebra, meaning $\Delta_A(a) = 1 \otimes a$ for all $a \in A$, then the category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is trivial (any object is isomorphic to the zero object).

- (d) If A is a semisimple k -algebra, the category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is trivial.
(e) If H is semisimple then $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is trivial for any A , since $H \otimes M$ contains M as a direct summand, for any A -module M . The composition

$$M \xrightarrow{\Lambda \otimes \text{Id}} H \otimes M \xrightarrow{\epsilon \otimes \text{Id}} M$$

is a multiple of the identity (for any H), and not equal to zero exactly when H is semisimple.

(f) Let B be any k -algebra and form $A = H \otimes B$, the tensor product of H and B , with the comodule structure $\Delta_A(h \otimes b) = \Delta(h) \otimes b$. Then A is a comodule-algebra over H , with, in general, highly non-trivial module-category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

(g) Suppose B is a right H -module algebra. This means B is a right H -module, and the multiplication and unit maps of B are H -module maps (equivalently, B is a left H^* comodule-algebra). The smash product algebra $A = H \# B$ is the k -vector space $H \otimes B$ with the multiplication

$$(h \otimes b)(l \otimes c) = \sum hl_{(1)} \otimes (b \cdot l_{(2)})c$$

and the left H -comodule structure $\Delta_A(h \otimes b) = \Delta(h) \otimes b$. It's easy to see that A is a left H -comodule algebra.

Example (f) is a special case of (g) when the right H -module structure on B is trivial (factors through ϵ). Of most interest to us is the example (g). We refer the reader to [Mo] or [DNR] for a generalization of (g) to crossed products and other extensions.

The shift functor. We next define the *shift* or *translation* endofunctor T on the category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. Form the left H -module $H/(k\Lambda)$ and define, for any M ,

$$T(M) = (H/(k\Lambda)) \otimes M.$$

T is a functor in the category of A -modules and in the category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ as well. Next, define the functor T' in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ by

$$T'(M) = \ker(\epsilon) \otimes M.$$

The submodule $\ker(\epsilon) \subset H$ has codimension 1.

Proposition 3 *T and T' are mutually-inverse invertible functors in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.*

Proof: We have $T'T(M) = \ker(\epsilon) \otimes (H/(k\Lambda)) \otimes M$. To show that $T'T \cong \text{Id}$ it suffices to decompose the H -module $\ker(\epsilon) \otimes (H/H\Lambda)$ into a direct sum

of a projective H -module P and the trivial one-dimensional H -module $\underline{k}$ (on which H acts via ϵ), for then

$$T'T(M) \cong (P \otimes M) \oplus (\underline{k} \otimes M) \cong (P \otimes M) \oplus M,$$

and $P \otimes M$ is isomorphic to the zero object in the category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

The module $H \otimes (H/k\Lambda)$ is isomorphic to the free module H^{n-1} , where n is the dimension of H . Consider the diagram of surjective maps

$$H \xrightarrow{g} H/k\Lambda \xleftarrow{\epsilon \otimes \text{Id}} H \otimes (H/k\Lambda) \cong H^{n-1}$$

with g being the obvious quotient map. Since H is a free H -module, there exists a map $h : H \rightarrow H^{n-1}$ lifting g , that is, $g = (\epsilon \otimes \text{Id})h$.

We claim that h is injective, for otherwise it descends to

$$g' : H/k\Lambda \longrightarrow H^{n-1}$$

such that $fg' = \text{Id}$. This would make $H/k\Lambda$ a direct summand of H^{n-1} , and a projective module. Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{k} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} H \longrightarrow H/k\Lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

would split and the trivial one-dimensional H -module $\underline{k}$ would be projective and H semisimple, the trivial case.

Thus, $h : H \rightarrow H^{n-1}$ is injective. Since H is injective, we can find a splitting $H^{n-1} \cong h(H) \oplus P$ such that $f(P) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\ker(\epsilon) \otimes (H/H\Lambda) \cong \underline{k} \oplus P$$

and $T'T \cong \text{Id}$. Likewise, $TT' \cong \text{Id}$. $\square$

Lemma 1 *A null-homotopic A -module map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ factors through the map $M_1 \xrightarrow{\Lambda \otimes \text{Id}} H \otimes M_1$.*

Proof: It suffices to consider the case $M_2 \cong H \otimes N$. Since $H \otimes H \cong H^n$ as left H -modules and H is injective, the inclusion

$$\Lambda \otimes \text{Id} : H \longrightarrow H \otimes H$$

admits a section $g : H \otimes H \rightarrow H$, an H -module map so that $g(\Lambda \otimes \text{Id}) = \text{Id}$. The composition

$$M_1 \xrightarrow{\Lambda \otimes \text{Id}} H \otimes M_1 \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \otimes f} H \otimes H \otimes N \xrightarrow{g \otimes \text{Id}} H \otimes N$$

equals f . $\square$

Remark: David Radford pointed out an explicit formula for g . Choose any k -linear map $t : H \longrightarrow H$ such that $t(\Lambda) = 1$. Then

$$g(a \otimes b) = \sum b_{(2)} t(s^{-1}(b_{(1)})a)$$

is a map $H \otimes H \longrightarrow H$ of left H -modules such that $g(\Lambda \otimes b) = b$ for all $b \in H$. Here s is the antipode of H .

Triangles. For an A -module morphism $u : X \rightarrow Y$ denote by $\underline{u}$ its residue in the quotient category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. The cone C_u of u is defined as the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{u} & Y \\ x \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ H \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & C_u \end{array}$$

where $x = \Lambda \otimes \text{Id}$, $\bar{u}$ (see below) is the quotient map, so that we have a diagram of short exact sequences in $A\text{-mod}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & X & \xrightarrow{x} & H \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\bar{x}} & TX & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow u & & \downarrow \bar{u} & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{v} & C_u & \xrightarrow{w} & TX & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

In this subsection we use notations $\bar{u}, \underline{u}$, etc. from Happel [Ha].

We define a *standard distinguished triangle* as a sextuple

$$X \xrightarrow{u} Y \xrightarrow{v} C_u \xrightarrow{w} TX$$

associated with a morphism u . If u and u' are homotopic (i.e. $\underline{u} = \underline{u'}$), sextuples assigned to u and u' are isomorphic as diagrams of objects and morphisms in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. Thus, to a morphism $\underline{u}$ in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ we can assign a sextuple

$$X \xrightarrow{\underline{u}} Y \xrightarrow{\underline{v}} C_u \xrightarrow{\underline{w}} TX$$

in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. A sextuple $X \xrightarrow{\underline{u}} Y \xrightarrow{\underline{v}} Z \xrightarrow{\underline{w}} TX$ of objects and morphisms in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is called a *distinguished triangle* if it is isomorphic in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ to a standard distinguished triangle.

Theorem 1 *The category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is triangulated, with the shift functor T and distinguished triangles as defined above.*

The proof is almost identical to that of Theorem 2.6 in [Ha]. We need to check that the axioms TR1-TR4 of a triangulated category hold for $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. The axiom TR1 is obvious. Let's prove TR3 following Happel [Ha], with minor modifications and using his notations whenever possible. The axiom TR3 says that, given two triangles (X, Y, Z, u, v, w) and (X', Y', Z', u', v', w') are morphisms $f : X \rightarrow X'$, $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ such that $u'f = gu$ in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$, there exists a morphism (f, g, h) from the first triangle to the second.

Clearly, it's enough to verify this axiom for standard triangles. We have two diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{x} & H \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\bar{x}} & TX \\
 u \downarrow & & \bar{u} \downarrow & & = \downarrow \\
 Y & \xrightarrow{v} & C_u & \xrightarrow{w} & TX \\
 X' & \xrightarrow{x'} & H \otimes X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{x}'} & TX' \\
 u' \downarrow & & \bar{u}' \downarrow & & = \downarrow \\
 Y' & \xrightarrow{v'} & C_{u'} & \xrightarrow{w'} & TX'
 \end{array}$$

and morphisms f, g such that $\underline{u'f} = \underline{gu}$. By lemma 1, there exists

$$\alpha : H \otimes X \longrightarrow Y'$$

such that $gu = u'f + \alpha x$. The map

$$I_f = \text{Id} \otimes f : H \otimes X \rightarrow H \otimes X'$$

satisfies $x'f = I_fx$. For the map $Tf : TX \rightarrow TX'$ induced by f we have $\bar{x}'I_f = Tf\bar{x}$. The morphisms $v'g : Y \rightarrow C_{u'}$ and $\bar{u}'I_f + v'\alpha : H \otimes X \rightarrow C_{u'}$ satisfy

$$v'gu = v'u'f + v'\alpha x = \bar{u}'x'f + v'\alpha x = (v'\alpha + \bar{u}'I_f)x.$$

Since C_u is a pushout, there exists a morphism $h : C_u \rightarrow C_{u'}$ such that

$$hv = v'g, \quad h\bar{u} = \bar{u}'I_f + v'\alpha.$$

Furthermore, $w'hv = Tf wv$, since $Tf wv = 0$ and $w'hv = w'v'g = 0$. Moreover,

$$Tf w\bar{u} = Tf \bar{x} = \bar{x}'I_f = w'\bar{u}'I_f = w'\bar{u}'I_f + w'v'\alpha = w'(\bar{u}'I_f + v'\alpha) = w'h\bar{u}.$$

This implies $w'h = Tf w$ and $(\underline{f}, \underline{g}, \underline{h})$ is a morphism of triangles.

Axiom TR2 says that $(Y, Z, TX, v, w, -Tu)$ is a triangle if (X, Y, Z, u, v, w) is. Happel's proof of this axiom transfers to our case without any changes (as long as we substitute $H \otimes X$ and $H \otimes Y$ for his $I(X)$ and $I(Y)$).

The last axiom TR4 is the octahedral axiom. Happel's argument works for us as well (after substituting $H \otimes N$ for his $I(N)$ throughout for various N), once we observe that the sequence of A -modules

$$Y \xrightarrow{i} H \otimes C_u \longrightarrow (H \otimes C_u)/i(Y)$$

(where $i = \Lambda \otimes i_1$ and i_1 is the inclusion $Y \rightarrow C_u$) is isomorphic to the direct sum of

$$Y \xrightarrow{y} H \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\bar{y}} TY$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow H \otimes (H/k\Lambda) \otimes X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} H \otimes (H/k\Lambda) \otimes X.$$

□

For any H -module V , the inclusions

$$\text{Id} \otimes \Lambda : V \longrightarrow V \otimes H \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda \otimes \text{Id} : V \longrightarrow H \otimes V$$

are H -module maps.

Lemma 2 *There exists a functorial in V isomorphism $r : V \otimes H \longrightarrow H \otimes V$ intertwining the above inclusions: $r \cdot (\text{Id} \otimes \Lambda) = \Lambda \otimes \text{Id}$.*

Proof: Let $r = r_3 r_2 r_1$, where

$$V \otimes H \xrightarrow{r_1} V' \otimes H \xrightarrow{r_2} H \otimes V' \xrightarrow{r_3} H \otimes V$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(v \otimes h) &= \sum s^{-1}(h_{(1)})v \otimes h_{(2)}, \\ r_2(v \otimes h) &= hg \otimes v, \\ r_3(h \otimes v) &= \sum h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}v. \end{aligned}$$

Here $V' = V$ as a k -vector space, but H acts trivially on V' . We denoted by g the distinguished grouplike element of H , determined by the condition $x\lambda = x(g)\lambda$ for all $x \in H^*$, where λ is a right integral for H^* , i.e. $\lambda x = x(1)\lambda$ (see [Ra] for details and [Ku] for a diagrammatical approach). The

maps r_1, r_2, r_3 are functorial in V invertible H -module maps. The equation $r(\Lambda \otimes \text{Id}) = \text{Id} \otimes \Lambda$ reduces, by a straightforward simplification, to the equation

$$\sum \Lambda_{(1)} \otimes \Lambda_{(2)} = \sum s^2(\Lambda_{(2)})g \otimes \Lambda_{(1)}$$

which is part (d) of Theorem 3 in [Ra]. $\square$

An additive functor $F : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between triangulated categories is called *exact* if it takes distinguished triangles to distinguished triangles. The lemma implies that the tensor product

$$V \otimes X \longrightarrow V \otimes Y \longrightarrow V \otimes Z \longrightarrow V \otimes TX$$

of a distinguished triangle in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and an H -module V is a distinguished triangle, so that tensoring with an H -module V is an exact functor in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. We say, informally, that $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is a triangulated module-category over $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

The Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{C})$ of a triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$ is the group with generators $[X]$, over all objects X of $\mathcal{C}$, and relations $[Y] = [X] + [Z]$ whenever there exists a distinguished triangle $X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow TX$. These relations imply $[TX] = -X$.

To have nontrivial Grothendieck groups, we should avoid "infinities" (for instance, allowing infinite direct sums leads to $[X] = 0$ for any object X) and restrict to modules from a particular small class. Whenever we are considering Grothendieck groups, $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ will denote the stable category of finite-dimensional modules, while for objects of $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ we can take all finite-dimensional A -modules, or finitely-generated A -modules (if A is Noetherian), or, more generally, any class of A -modules closed under taking finite direct sums, subquotients, and tensoring with H .

Since $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ has an exact tensor product, $K(H - \underline{\text{mod}})$ is a ring. As an abelian group, $K(H - \underline{\text{mod}})$ is finitely-generated and may have torsion. For quasi-triangular H , the ring $K(H - \underline{\text{mod}})$ is commutative.

Corollary 1 *The Grothendieck group $K(A_H - \underline{\text{mod}})$ is a left module over the ring $K(H - \underline{\text{mod}})$.*

Suppose now that $A = H \# B$ is a smash product of H and a right H -comodule algebra B , see earlier example (g). Then we have the restriction functor from A -modules to H -modules (due to H being a subalgebra of A) which descends to an exact functor from $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ to $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. We say that a morphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is a *quasi-isomorphism* if it restricts to an isomorphism in $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

Proposition 4 *Quasi-isomorphisms in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ constitute a localizing class.*

Proof: The composition of quasi-isomorphisms is a quasi-isomorphism. Suppose $X \xrightarrow{s} Y \xleftarrow{f} Z$ is a diagram of objects and morphisms in $A\text{-mod}$ with s a quasi-isomorphism. Form the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{g} & H \otimes Y \\ h \downarrow & & \downarrow \epsilon \otimes \text{Id} \\ X \oplus Z & \xrightarrow{(s,f)} & Y \end{array}$$

The maps $h_X : C \rightarrow X$ and $h_Z : C \rightarrow Z$ (where $h = (h_X, h_Z)$) make the diagram below commutative in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{h_Z} & Z \\ -h_X \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{s} & Y \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Lemma 3 *The map h_Z is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof: h_Z is surjective as a map of A -modules and we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(h_Z) \longrightarrow C \xrightarrow{h_Z} Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

The module $\ker(h_Z)$ consists of pairs (x, a) where $x \in X$, $a \in H \otimes Y$ and $s(x) = (\epsilon \otimes \text{Id})a$. Thus, this module is the kernel of the surjective quasi-isomorphism

$$X \oplus (H \otimes Y) \xrightarrow{(s, -\epsilon \otimes \text{Id})} Y.$$

But the kernel of a surjective quasi-isomorphism is a projective (hence, injective) H -module, and the above sequence splits, implying the lemma's statement. $\square$

We see that a diagram $X \xrightarrow{s} Y \xleftarrow{f} Z$ with s a quasi-isomorphism lifts to a commutative square (1) with h_Z a quasi-isomorphism.

A dual argument implies that any diagram $X \xleftarrow{s} Y \xrightarrow{f} Z$ with s a quasi-isomorphism extends to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{s} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ Z & \xrightarrow{t} & W \end{array} \tag{2}$$

with t a quasi-isomorphism.

Next, we need to show that, given a diagram $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{s} Z$ in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ with s a quasi-isomorphism and $sf = 0$ in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$, there exists a quasi-isomorphism $W \xrightarrow{t} X$ such that $ft = 0$.

By adding to Y a direct summand of the form $H \otimes U$, if necessary, we can assume $sf = 0$ in the abelian category $A - \text{mod}$ rather than just in the quotient category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= X \oplus (H \otimes Y) \oplus (H \otimes H \otimes Z), \\ G_2 &= Y \oplus (H \otimes Z), \end{aligned}$$

and $g : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ be the sum of the following four maps:

$$\begin{aligned} s &: X \longrightarrow Y, \\ \epsilon \otimes \text{Id} &: H \otimes Y \longrightarrow Y, \\ \text{Id} \otimes s &: H \otimes Y \longrightarrow H \otimes Z, \\ \epsilon \otimes \text{Id}^{\otimes 2} &: H \otimes H \otimes Z \longrightarrow H \otimes Z. \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = \ker(g)$ and $W \xrightarrow{t} X$ be the composition of inclusion and projection onto the first summand, $W \subset G_1 \rightarrow X$. As a map of k -vector spaces, t is surjective with the kernel a projective H -module (an easy exercise). Hence t is a quasi-isomorphism. The composition ft factors through $H \otimes Y$; thus $ft = 0$ in $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

A dual argument produces a quasi-isomorphism $Y \xrightarrow{s} Z$ such that $sf = 0$, given a diagram $W \xrightarrow{t} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ with t a quasi-isomorphism and $ft = 0$. Proposition 4 follows.

□

Recall that A is the smash product $H \# B$. Denote by $D(B, H)$ the localization of $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ with respect to quasi-isomorphisms. It has the same objects as $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and morphisms from M to N are equivalence classes of diagrams $M \xleftarrow{s} M' \xrightarrow{f} N$ where s is a quasi-isomorphism. We refer the reader to [GM], [We] for properties of localizations.

Corollary 2 *$D(B, H)$ is a triangulated category. Tensoring with an H -module is an exact functor in $D(B, H)$, and the Grothendieck group of $D(B, H)$ is a module over $K(H - \underline{\text{mod}})$.*

Throughout the paper we denote the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_n$. If H is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded or $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -graded Hopf algebra with a homogeneous left integral,

the stable category $H\text{-}\underline{\text{gmod}}$ of graded H -modules is triangulated monoidal. Its Grothendieck ring is a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra, with the multiplication by q corresponding to the grading shift. If A is a graded H -comodule algebra, the category $A_H\text{-}\underline{\text{gmod}}$ of graded A -modules modulo homotopies is triangulated. All other results above generalize to the graded case as well.

If H is a finite-dimensional Hopf super-algebra (a Hopf algebra in the category of super k -vector spaces), the stable category $H\text{-}\underline{\text{mod}}$ is triangulated and all the arguments above work in this case as well (with A being a comodule-superalgebra over H , etc). Moreover, the construction generalizes to the situation when H is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hopf super-algebra, with the super $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading given by the mod 2 reduction of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading, and we consider the stable category of $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded modules.

2 Examples

DG algebras. A differential graded k -algebra B comes equipped with a degree 1 differential D such that $D^2 = 0$ and

$$D(ab) = D(a)b + (-1)^{|a|}aD(b), \quad a, b \in B.$$

To see the link between DGAs and comodule-algebras, note that the exterior algebra on one generator $H = k[D]/(D^2)$ is a Hopf algebra in the category of $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded super-vector spaces.

The smash product $A = B \# H$ is a right H -comodule algebra via

$$A \xrightarrow{\Delta_A} A \otimes H, \quad a \otimes h \longmapsto a \otimes \Delta(h).$$

As a vector space $A = B \otimes H$, with the multiplication

$$(b \otimes h)(b' \otimes h') = \sum b h_{(1)}(b') \otimes h_{(2)}h',$$

where $\Delta(h) = \sum h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$. In particular, $\Delta(D) = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (b \otimes 1)(b' \otimes h') &= bb' \otimes h', \\ (b \otimes D)(b' \otimes h') &= bD(b') \otimes h' + (-1)^{|b'|}bb' \otimes Dh'. \end{aligned}$$

A differential graded left B -module is the same as a graded left A -module, so that the two abelian categories $B\text{-dgmod}$ and $A\text{-gmod}$ (of DG modules over B and graded A -modules, respectively) are equivalent. Next, the quotient category $A_H\text{-}\underline{\text{mod}}$ is equivalent to the quotient of $B\text{-dgmod}$ by the ideal of null-homotopic morphisms. Finally, the derived category $D(B, H)$

is equivalent to the derived category $D(B)$ of the dga B , as defined, for instance, in [BL].

DG algebras constitute an indispensable tool in homological algebra. The above correspondence and results of the previous section suggest a generalization of the notion of a DG algebra and triangulated categories of modules assigned to it. A finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H (more generally, a finite-dimensional graded Hopf (super) algebra) takes place of the homological equation $D^2 = 0$. A module-algebra over H takes place of a DG algebra. The homotopy category of differential graded B -modules generalizes to the category $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$, where A is the smash product $H \# B$, while $D(B, H)$ plays the role of the derived category of DG modules. We expect that large chunks of the theory of DG algebras will generalize to H -module algebras.

Finite groups. Let G be a finite group. A is a comodule-algebra over $k[G]$ if

$$A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g, \quad A_g A_h \subset A_{gh}.$$

Then $\Delta_A(a) = g \otimes a$ for $a \in A_g$. The category $A_{k[G]} - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is a module-category over $k[G] - \underline{\text{mod}}$. We assume that $\text{char}(k)$ divides the order of G , for otherwise $A_{k[G]} - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is trivial.

If, in addition, there are elements $a_g \in A_g$ such that $a_1 = 1$ and $a_g a_h = a_{gh}$, then $k[G] \subset A$, via the map $g \mapsto a_g$, and $(\Delta_A)|_{k[G]} = \Delta$, so we can form the derived category $D(A_1, k[G])$. In this case $A_g = a_g A_1 = A_1 a_g$ and $a_g x = \psi_g(x) a_g$, for $x \in A_1$ and an automorphism ψ_g of the algebra A_1 . We see that A is determined by A_1 and a homomorphism ψ from G to the automorphism group of A_1 . The algebra A is then a smash product of A_1 and the group ring $k[G]$. The derived category $D(A_1, k[G])$ seems interesting already in the case of trivial ψ , when $\psi_g = \text{Id}$ for all g and $A = k[G] \otimes A_1$ is the tensor product algebra.

Although one rarely encounters G -graded rings for non-commutative groups G , it's common for an algebra to be $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -graded, for some n . Let's specialize to $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ and assume k has finite characteristic which divides n . The resulting categories $A_{k[\mathbb{Z}_n]} - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and $D(A, k[\mathbb{Z}_n])$ resemble the categories we'll study in Section 3 associated with the truncated polynomial Hopf algebra.

The first nontrivial case $n = 2$ and $\text{char } k = 2$ produces categories similar to the usual homotopy and derived categories of modules over a ring. Let's pass to the additive notation for indices, so that $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$, and consider the simplest case $A = A_0 \otimes k[\mathbb{Z}_2]$. Denote by g the generator of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and let $d = g + 1 \in k[\mathbb{Z}_2]$. Then $d^2 = 0$. A direct computation shows that an A -module M is trivial in the category $A_{k[\mathbb{Z}_2]} - \underline{\text{mod}}$ iff it's isomorphic, as an A -module, to $k[\mathbb{Z}_2] \otimes N$, for some A_0 -module N . Likewise, an A -module

M is trivial in the derived category $D(A_0, k[\mathbb{Z}_2])$ iff $\ker(d) = \operatorname{im}(d)$. Thus, triangulated categories $A_{k[\mathbb{Z}_2]} - \underline{\operatorname{mod}}$ and $D(A_0, k[\mathbb{Z}_2])$ are very close relatives of the homotopy category of complexes over the algebra A_0 and its derived category, respectively. Unlike the usual homotopy and derived categories, the modules here are not graded, and we have to work over a field of characteristic 2.

Bicomplexes. Consider a $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra Λ_2 with generators D_1, D_2 of bidegrees $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, respectively, and relations

$$D_1^2 = 0, \quad D_2^2 = 0, \quad D_1 D_2 + D_2 D_1.$$

Λ_2 is simply the exterior algebra on two generators, and a graded Hopf algebra in the category of super-vector spaces, with $\Delta(D_i) = D_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_i$. Any $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebra A with two super-commuting graded super-derivations ∂_1, ∂_2 (such that $\partial_i^2 = 0$) is a Λ_2 -comodule algebra, and one can form triangulated module-categories $A_{\Lambda_2} - \underline{\operatorname{gmod}}$ and $D_{A_{\Lambda_2}}(A)$ over $\Lambda_2 - \underline{\operatorname{gmod}}$. Let's call such A a bi-DG-algebra.

Tensor products $A_1 \otimes A_2$, with each A_i a DG-algebra, deliver examples of bi-DG-algebras. If X is a compact complex manifold, the Dolbeault bicomplex

$$\Omega(X) = \bigoplus_{i,j} \Omega^{i,j}(X), \quad \partial : \Omega^{i,j}(X) \longrightarrow \Omega^{i+1,j}(X), \quad \bar{\partial} : \Omega^{i,j}(X) \longrightarrow \Omega^{i,j+1}(X)$$

is a (super) commutative bi-DG-algebra.

Denote by $\underline{\Omega(X)}$ the bicomplex $\Omega(X)$ viewed as an object of the stable category $\Lambda_2 - \underline{\operatorname{gmod}}$. The Dolbeault and de Rham cohomology groups $H_\partial(X)$, $H_{\bar{\partial}}(X)$, $H_{\partial+\bar{\partial}}(X)$ can be recovered from $\underline{\Omega(X)}$, and are the image of $\underline{\Omega(X)}$ under suitable tensor functors from $\Lambda_2 - \underline{\operatorname{gmod}}$ to the abelian category of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -graded (respectively, $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded) vector spaces.

For a general complex manifold X the invariant $\underline{\Omega(X)}$ seems to carry more information than the cohomology groups and provides an example where the stable category of a Hopf algebra appears naturally. When X is Kähler, the Hodge theory tells us that $\Omega(X) \cong P \oplus S$ where P is an (infinite-dimensional) projective and S a finite-dimensional semisimple Λ_2 -modules, and

$$S \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} H^{i,j}(X) \otimes S_{i,j},$$

with $S_{i,j}$ being the simple Λ_2 -module which is $\mathbb{C}$ placed in bidegree (i, j) . In the stable category $P = 0$ and $\underline{\Omega(X)} \cong S$. Thus, for Kähler X , the invariant $\underline{\Omega(X)}$ carries the same information as the Dolbeault cohomology.

Finite type and tame Hopf algebras. The stable category $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ serves as the target category for various exact functors from $A_H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and $D(B, H)$, where A is a smash product, $A = H \# B$. It seems much easier to investigate homological algebra over $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ when the objects of this base category could be classified. Both categories $H - \text{mod}$ and $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ have the Krull-Schmidt property (we restrict now to finite-dimensional modules) and their objects split uniquely as direct sums of indecomposables. Since there are only finitely many indecomposable projective H -modules, H has finite type iff $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ has finite type, and H is a tame algebra iff $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is tame. These two cases (when H is finite or tame) seem particularly important, for then we can completely understand the objects of $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$.

A semisimple Hopf algebra H has finite type, but then $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is trivial. The following are examples of nonsemisimple Hopf algebras of finite type.

- The truncated polynomial algebra $k[X]/(X^n)$ where field k has characteristic p and $n = p^m$, with $\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X$ (See Section 3).
- The restricted universal enveloping algebra of the p -Lie algebra with a basis $\{x, y\}$ and relations $[y, x] = x$, $x^{[p]} = 0$, $y^{[p]} = y$.
- The group ring $k[\mathbb{Z}_n]$ of a cyclic group, where $p = \text{char}(k)$ divides n . More generally, a group ring $k[G]$ has finite type if p -Sylow subgroups of G are cyclic.
- The Taft Hopf algebra (see Section 4).

Examples of nonsemisimple Hopf algebras of tame type are

- the finite quantum group $U_q^{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ when q is a root of unity,
- the restricted universal enveloping algebra of the p -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$,
- group rings $k[G]$, when $\text{char}(k) = 2$ and the 2-Sylow subgroup of G is the Klein four group,
- cross-products of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ and the exterior algebra of $\mathbb{C}^2$, for G a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$,
- the Drinfeld double of the Taft Hopf algebra [EGST].

For a detailed study of finite and tame cocommutative Hopf algebras we refer the reader to [FS] and references therein.

A common theme in modern algebraic topology and modular representation theory is to start with a complicated tensor triangulated category, such

as the category of spectra or the stable category $k[G]$, for G with a large p -Sylow subgroup, and then localize to a simpler tensor triangulated category which is more accessible to computation [St]. We are suggesting here a different direction, where one starts with a rather uncomplicated tensor triangulated category, say $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ for a tame or finite type H , but then goes on to study more sophisticated triangulated module-categories over this category.

3 A categorification of the cyclotomic ring

Category $\mathcal{H}'$. The Jones polynomial of links admits a categorification, being the Euler characteristic of a bigraded link homology theory [Kh]. The Jones polynomial also extends to a three-manifold invariant, the Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant [W], [RT], which has an interpretation via quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ at a root of unity. For a closed three-manifold M this invariant $\tau(M)$, which depends on an integer n , takes values in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta^{\frac{1}{4}}) \subset \mathbb{C}$, where $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$. More precisely, $\tau(M)$ lies in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta^{\frac{1}{4}}, \frac{1}{n}] \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta^{\frac{1}{4}})$. When n is prime, suitably normalized $\tau(M)$ takes values in the ring of cyclotomic integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] \subset \mathbb{C}$, see [Mu], [MR], [Le]. Louis Crane and Igor Frenkel conjectured [CF] that some quantum invariants of 3-manifolds can be categorified.

The Jones polynomial of a link in $\mathbb{R}^3$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$. Categorification turns the Jones polynomial into a bigraded abelian group, and q into a grading shift $\{1\}$. When $q = \zeta$ is the primitive n -th root of unity, the naive approach to categorification would be to make the grading n -periodic, and work with $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -graded objects.

However, in the ring of cyclotomic integers (where quantum invariants tend to live) there is a stronger relation

$$1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} = 0, \tag{3}$$

and that's the one we must categorify (at least when n is a prime, for composite n a stronger relation $\Psi_n(q) = 0$ holds, where Ψ_n is the n -th cyclotomic polynomial). We let

$$R_n = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1})$$

and try to categorify the ring R_n .

A categorification of a ring is an additive category $\mathcal{C}$ with an exact tensor product (this is slightly inaccurate, since to define exactness we need $\mathcal{C}$

to be either abelian or triangulated). An associative multiplication in the Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{C})$ is given by

$$[M][N] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [M \otimes N]$$

where $[M]$ is the image of an object M in the Grothendieck group. The image $[1]$ of the unit object 1 of $\mathcal{C}$ is the unit element of the ring $K(\mathcal{C})$.

For $K(\mathcal{C})$ to be commutative, it suffices to require that $\mathcal{C}$ is braided (has a compatible family of isomorphisms $M \otimes N \cong N \otimes M$). For $K(\mathcal{C})$ to have a natural structure of a $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module, it suffices to have an exact invertible functor $\{1\}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, compatible with the tensor structure.

To have a homomorphism $R_n \longrightarrow K(\mathcal{C})$ we need the relation

$$[1] + [1\{1\}] + \cdots + [1\{n-1\}] = 0. \quad (4)$$

Positivity constraints seem to make this equation impossible in a abelian category. Equation (4) holds if $\mathcal{C}$ has an object H , built out of n shifts of the unit object 1 , such that H is zero in the Grothendieck group, $[H] = 0$.

A solution to this puzzle does exist in the realm of triangulated categories. Take the stable category $H - \underline{\text{mod}}$ of finite-dimensional H -modules, where H is a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra over a field k . The stable category is triangulated and monoidal, and the Grothendieck group $K(H - \underline{\text{mod}})$ is a ring. The image of the H -module H in the Grothendieck ring of the stable category is trivial, since H is projective as a module over itself.

We should avoid the case of semisimple H , resulting in trivial stable category. One of the first examples of nonsemisimple finite-dimensional Hopf algebras is the truncated polynomial algebra

$$H = k[X]/(X^p),$$

where field k has characteristic $p > 0$. The comultiplication and the antipode are given by $\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X$ and $S(X) = -X$. Furthermore, H is graded, with X in degree 1.

Let $H\text{-gmod}$ be the category of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded H -modules and $\mathcal{H}' = H - \underline{\text{gmod}}$ the corresponding stable category (of finite-dimensional graded H -modules modulo projectives). Both categories have symmetric tensor structure, and their Grothendieck groups are rings. The Grothendieck group $K(H\text{-gmod})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$.

Proposition 5 *The Grothendieck group $K(\mathcal{H}')$ is naturally isomorphic to the ring of cyclotomic integers R_p . Multiplication by q corresponds to the grading shift.*

Proof: Let $V_i = H/(X^{i+1}H)$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$. The module $V_{p-1} \cong H$ is free while M_0 is a simple H -module and the unit object of $H\text{-gmod}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$. We also denote V_0 by $\mathbf{1}$. Existence of the Jordan form for the nilpotent operator X acting on an H -module implies that any module in $H\text{-gmod}$ decomposes uniquely (up to isomorphism) as a direct sum of modules $V_i\{j\}$, for $i \leq p-1$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here $\{j\}$ denotes the grading shift upward by j . Likewise, any object of $\mathcal{H}'$ has a unique (up to isomorphism) decomposition as a direct sum of modules $V_i\{j\}$, for $i < p-1$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In particular, any indecomposable object of $\mathcal{H}'$ is isomorphic to $V_i\{j\}$ for a unique pair (i, j) with $i < p-1$. The module V_i has a filtration by shifts of the module V_0 , and in the Grothendieck group

$$[V_i] = [V_0] + q[V_0] + \dots + q^i[V_0].$$

Therefore, the Grothendieck group is a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ -module generated by $[V_0] = [\mathbf{1}]$. Moreover, $[H] = [V_{p-1}] = 0$, implying $(1 + q + \dots + q^{p-1})[\mathbf{1}] = 0$. The image of $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit element 1 of the Grothendieck ring. Thus, the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{H}'$ is isomorphic to R_p .

□

The category $\mathcal{H}'$ is symmetric triangulated. The shift functor T acts on indecomposables as follows:

$$T(V_i) \cong V_{p-2-i}\{i+1-p\}$$

Hence, $T^2(V) \cong V\{-p\}$ for any $V \in \mathcal{H}'$.

We just saw that objects of $\mathcal{H}'$ are easy to classify. In contrast, for all but first few primes p classification of morphisms in $\mathcal{H}'$ is a wild problem. For instance, if $p > 7$ the classification of morphisms from the object $W_0 \otimes V_4$ to the object

$$(W_1 \otimes V_0) \oplus (W_2 \otimes V_2)\{-1\} \oplus (W_3 \otimes V_4)\{-2\} \oplus (W_4 \otimes V_6)\{-3\} \oplus (W_5 \otimes V_8)\{-4\},$$

modulo the action of the group $\prod_{i=0}^5 \text{GL}(W_i)$, where W_i are finite-dimensional k -vector spaces, is equivalent to classification of representations of quiver with 6 vertices $v_i, 0 \leq i \leq 5$ and 5 arrows $v_i \rightarrow v_0, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, which is known to be wild.

Cyclic grading and category $\mathcal{H}$. Instead of $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded H -modules we could consider $\mathbb{Z}_m$ -graded H -modules and the corresponding stable category, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The prime p should divide m if we want the Grothendieck group of the category to be R_p . The case of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded modules allows the

greatest freedom (since a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading and a $\mathbb{Z}_{pr}$ -grading collapse to a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -grading), and we denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the stable category of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded H -modules.

$\mathcal{H}$ has the following description which does not explicitly refer to a grading. Let $\widehat{H}$ be the Hopf algebra over k with primitive generators X, Y and defining relations

$$[Y, X] = X, \quad Y^p = Y, \quad X^p = 0.$$

$\widehat{H}$ has dimension p^2 and is the restricted universal enveloping algebra of a p -Lie algebra with the same generators and relations. Consider the category $\widehat{H}\text{-mod}$ of finite-dimensional left $\widehat{H}$ -modules and its stable category $\widehat{H}\text{-}\underline{\text{mod}}$. Generator Y acts semisimply on any finite-dimensional H -module M , and we can decompose

$$M = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{F}_p} M^r, \quad Ym = rm \text{ if } m \in M^r.$$

Then $XM^r \subset M^{r+1}$ and $X^p = 0$. We see that $\widehat{H}\text{-mod}$ is equivalent to the category of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded H -modules, with the grading provided by the action of Y . This equivalence descends to an equivalence between stable categories $\mathcal{H}$ and $\widehat{H}\text{-}\underline{\text{mod}}$ respecting their monoidal structures.

Proposition 5 holds for $\mathcal{H}$ as well, and other results can be restated for $\mathcal{H}$ in place of $\mathcal{H}'$, sometimes with only minor obvious adjustments. In particular, the Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to R_p . One difference between $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{H}$ is that the latter has only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules: $V_i\{j\}$ over $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Also, $T^2(V) \cong V$ for any V in $\mathcal{H}$, since $V\{p\} = V$.

The above transformation of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded H -modules into modules over a larger Hopf algebra $\widehat{H}$ is a special case of Majid's bosonization construction [M].

Split Grothendieck rings of $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{H}$.

We now look at how tensor products $V_i \otimes V_j$ break into direct sum of indecomposables in $\mathcal{H}'$. It's convenient to slightly enlarge the category and allow $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded modules, for then we can balance V_i about degree zero. We use the same notation $\mathcal{H}'$ for this bigger category. Define the balanced indecomposable modules by

$$\widetilde{V}_i = V_i\{\frac{-i}{2}\}.$$

Proposition 6 *The following isomorphism holds in the stable category:*

$$\tilde{V}_i \otimes \tilde{V}_j \cong \bigoplus_{m \in I} \tilde{V}_m, \quad (5)$$

where I is the set $\{|i - j|, |i - j| + 2, \dots, \min(i + j, 2p - i - j - 4)\}$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq p - 2$.

Proof: The following isomorphism in $H\text{-gmod}$ (and, hence, in $\mathcal{H}'$) can be checked directly:

$$\tilde{V}_1 \otimes \tilde{V}_j \cong \tilde{V}_{j-1} \oplus \tilde{V}_{j+1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p - 2.$$

When $j = p - 2$ the module V_{j+1} is projective, so that in $\mathcal{H}'$ we have

$$\tilde{V}_1 \otimes \tilde{V}_{p-2} \cong \tilde{V}_{p-3}.$$

This implies formula (5) for $i = 1$. Since both $H\text{-gmod}$ and $\mathcal{H}'$ have the Krull-Schmidt property (unique direct sum decomposition), we can use induction on i to check that (5) holds whenever $i + j + 2 \leq p$. In this case the sum on the right extends to $i + j$.

Assume now $i \geq j$ and $i + j + 2 > p$ and apply the shift functor:

$$T(\tilde{V}_i \otimes \tilde{V}_j) \cong (T\tilde{V}_i) \otimes \tilde{V}_j.$$

Since $T(\tilde{V}_i) \cong \tilde{V}_{p-2-i}\{\frac{-p}{2}\}$ and $(p - 2 - i) + j + 2 \leq p$, we have

$$(T\tilde{V}_i) \otimes \tilde{V}_j \cong \bigoplus_{m \in J} \tilde{V}_m\{\frac{-p}{2}\},$$

where J is the set $\{j + i + 2 - p, j + i + 4 - p, \dots, j + p - 2 - i\}$. Applying T^{-1} to both sides of this isomorphism nets us

$$\tilde{V}_i \otimes \tilde{V}_j \cong \bigoplus_{m \in I} \tilde{V}_m$$

where $I = \{i - j, i - j + 2, \dots, 2p - 4 - i - j\}$, which is the formula (5) in the case $i \geq j$ and $i + j + 2 > p$. The remaining case $i < j$ follows by symmetry.

□

Define the split Grothendieck group $K_s(C)$ of an additive category C as the abelian group with generators $[M]$, over all objects M of C , and relations $[M] = [M'] + [M'']$ whenever $M \cong M' \oplus M''$. If C is additive monoidal, the split Grothendieck group is a ring.

Corollary 3 *The split Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{H}'$ is naturally isomorphic to the level $p - 2$ Verlinde algebra for $SU(2)$, where we consider the Verlinde algebra over the ground ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}]$.*

Indeed, $K_s(\mathcal{H}')$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}]$ -module with the basis $[\tilde{V}_0], \dots, [\tilde{V}_{p-2}]$, and the structure coefficients of the multiplication are exactly those of the Verlinde algebra. $\square$

Likewise, the split Grothendieck ring of $\mathcal{H}$ can be identified with the level $p - 2$ Verlinde algebra for $SU(2)$, over the ground ring $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}]/(q^n)$.

Characterizations of H and $\mathcal{H}'$.

1. *Inseparable extensions and group schemes:* The Hopf algebra H can be thought of as the algebra of functions on an infinitesimal group scheme. Suppose that $a \in k$, $\sqrt[p]{a} \notin k$. The field extension $k \subset K$, $K = k(y)$, $y^p = a$ is inseparable and the Galois group of K over k is trivial. There does exist, however, a nontrivial infinitesimal Galois group (a finite group scheme) acting on K . Namely, K is a comodule over the Hopf algebra H , with the k -linear coaction

$$\Delta_K : K \longrightarrow H \otimes_k K, \quad \Delta_K(y^i) = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} X^{i-j} \otimes y^j.$$

This is analogous to the group action of G on K in case of an extension $k \subset K$ with a nontrivial Galois group G . The k -linear action $G \times K \longrightarrow K$ can be written as $k[G] \otimes_k K \longrightarrow K$ and, dually, as $K \longrightarrow k[G]^* \otimes_k K$. Unlike the group algebra $k[G]$, the Hopf algebra H is self-dual, $H^* \cong H$.

2. *Restricted Lie algebra:* The Hopf algebra H is the restricted universal enveloping algebra of the abelian p -Lie algebra kX , $X^{[p]} = 0$.

3. The stable category $\mathcal{H}'$ is equivalent to each of the following categories:

(I) The category whose objects are unbounded exact complexes of finite-dimensional graded projective H -modules and whose morphisms are homomorphisms of complexes modulo chain homotopies.

(II) The quotient of the bounded derived category $D^b(H\text{-gmod})$ by the subcategory of complexes of projective modules.

(III) The category of graded matrix factorizations over $k[x]$ with potential x^p , modulo chain homotopies (although the obvious monoidal structure of $\mathcal{H}'$ is hard to describe in the language of matrix factorizations).

Equivalences with (I) and (II) hold for any Frobenius algebra, see [Bu], [R]. Equivalence with (III) holds only for H as above.

Matrix factorizations are D-branes (boundary conditions) in Landau-Ginzburg models of the string theory. Hori, Iqbal and Vafa [HIV] extracted the structure coefficients of the multiplication in the $SU(2)$ level $p-2$ Verlinde algebra (for all integer $p \geq 2$) from the category of matrix factorizations over $\mathbb{C}[x]$ with the potential x^p . It's likely that Corollary 3 and its characteristic 0 analogue (Proposition 8 below) are directly related to [HIV].

When n is a power of p . Our Hopf algebra H has a natural generalization. Let $n = p^m$ for some $m > 0$, and consider the Hopf algebra

$$H_{(m)} = k[X]/(X^n), \quad \Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X, \quad \deg X = 1,$$

with the antipode $S(X) = -X$ and the counit $\epsilon(X^i) = \delta_{i,0}$. The stable category $H_{(m)} - \underline{\text{gmod}}$ of finite-dimensional graded left $H_{(m)}$ -modules modulo projectives is symmetric tensor triangulated and its Grothendieck group is naturally isomorphic to the commutative ring

$$R_n = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}).$$

Some but not all of the results and observations above generalize to $H_{(m)}$. The Grothendieck ring of $H_{(m)} - \underline{\text{gmod}}$ is R_n . The Hopf algebra $H_{(m)}$ is not self-dual when $m > 1$, and the split Grothendieck ring of $H_{(m)} - \underline{\text{gmod}}$ has the multiplication table in the standard basis $[\tilde{V}_0], \dots, [\tilde{V}_{n-2}]$ different from that of the Verlinde algebra.

4 Nilpotent derivations

A conjecture. Let's assume, optimistically, that we are on the right track and there does exist a categorification of quantum 3-manifold invariants with $\mathcal{H}$ or $\mathcal{H}'$ as the base category. We are already restricted to the case when n is a prime p . The normalized WRT invariant $\tau(M)$ of a closed 3-manifold M lies in the ring R_p , and its categorification $\Theta(M)$ should be an object of the category $\mathcal{H}$ and have at least the following properties:

- Multiplicativity: $\Theta(M_1 \# M_2) \cong \Theta(M_1) \otimes \Theta(M_2)$.
- Duality: $\Theta(\overline{M}) \cong \Theta(M)^*$. Notice that $\mathcal{H}$ has a contravariant duality functor $M \mapsto M^* = \text{Hom}_k(M, k)$.
- The invariant of a 3-sphere: $\Theta(S^3) \cong V_0$, the unit object of $\mathcal{H}$.

$\Theta(M)$ would split into direct sum of indecomposable modules $V_i\{j\}$ and their multiplicities $\tau^{i,j}(M)$ will be $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -valued invariants of closed 3-manifolds, with $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq p$. The invariant $\tau(M)$ will be equal to the linear combination of $\tau^{i,j}(M)$:

$$\tau(M) = \sum_{i,j} [i]_q q^j \tau^{i,j}(M), \quad [i]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^i.$$

The invariant of a 4-dimensional (decorated) cobordism W between (decorated) 3-manifolds M_1, M_2 should be a morphism

$$\Theta(W) : \Theta(M_1) \longrightarrow \Theta(M_2).$$

In particular, if the boundary of W is empty, its invariant $\Theta(W)$ is an endomorphism of the unit object and thus an element of the field k (possibly just a residue modulo p).

When $\tau(M)$ is extended to 3-manifolds with boundaries, integrality cannot be completely retained. The space of conformal blocks $\tau(S)$ associated to an oriented closed surface S has an almost integral structure, that of a module over the ring $R_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta^{\frac{1}{4}}, \frac{1}{p}] \subset \mathbb{C}$, and the invariant of a 3-cobordism M between S_1 and S_2 is an $R_{(p)}$ -linear map $\tau(S_1) \longrightarrow \tau(S_2)$.

We don't know how to categorify the ring $R_{(p)}$. To get rid of powers of $\frac{1}{p}$, Gilmer [G] restricts the cobordisms category and only allows "targeted" cobordisms, where each component of a cobordism contains a component of the target surface. The Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev functor, restricted to targeted cobordisms, can be renormalized to be integral, and the space of conformal blocks $\tau(S)$ associated to a surface becomes a free $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta^{\frac{1}{4}}]$ -module.

Let us ignore the fractional powers of ζ and pretend that $\tau(S)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ -module of the rank given by the Verlinde formula. A categorification $\Theta(S)$ of $\tau(S)$ should be a triangulated category depending, up to equivalence, only on the genus g of S . Moreover, $\Theta(S)$ should be a module-category over $\mathcal{H}$, with the Grothendieck group a free R_p -module of rank equal to the dimension of the space of conformal blocks on a genus g surface. Projective action of the mapping class group of S should lift to a projective action on $\Theta(S)$. Since the surfaces might have to be decorated, one might have to restrict from the mapping class group to a subgroup of decoration-preserving automorphisms and to a projective action of that subgroup. The action on $\Theta(S)$ should be by exact and $\mathcal{H}$ -linear functors.

More generally, to a decorated 3-dimensional "targeted" cobordism M between surfaces S_1 and S_2 there should be assigned an exact and $\mathcal{H}$ -linear

functor $\Theta(M) : \Theta(S_1) \longrightarrow \Theta(S_2)$. To a decorated 4-dimensional cobordism W with corners between 3D targeted cobordisms M_1, M_2 with $\partial_i(M_1) = \partial_i(M_2)$, $i = 0, 1$, there should be assigned a natural transformation $\Theta(W)$ between functors $\Theta(M_1), \Theta(M_2)$.

Categories $\Theta(S)$, functors $\Theta(M)$ and natural transformations $\Theta(W)$, over all S and all allowed M and W , should assemble into a 2-functor from a 2-category of 4-dimensional cobordisms with corners to the 2-category of triangulated categories. Objects of the latter are triangulated categories, 1-morphisms are exact functors and 2-morphisms are natural transformation.

Module-categories over $\mathcal{H}$. To search for categories $\Theta(S)$ we need a large supply of triangulated module-categories over $\mathcal{H}$. We explained in Section 1 how to construct such categories from comodule-algebras over H . A left H -comodule algebra is an associative unital algebra A equipped with an algebra homomorphism $\Delta_A : A \longrightarrow H \otimes A$ which makes A into an H -comodule. Since our H is $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded, we want A to be $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded as well, and Δ_A to be grading-preserving. We write

$$\Delta_A(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i \otimes \partial_i(a).$$

Comodule-algebra axioms imply

$$\partial_0(a) = a, \quad \partial_i = \frac{f_1^i}{i!}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-1, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1^p = 0,$$

and that ∂_1 is a derivation,

$$\partial_1(ab) = \partial_1(a)b + a\partial_1(b).$$

Denote ∂_1 by ∂ . Since $\deg(\Delta_A) = 0$ and $\deg(X) = 1$, derivation ∂ must have degree -1 .

We see that a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded H -comodule algebra A is an associative $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded k -algebra with a degree -1 derivation $\partial : A \longrightarrow A$,

$$\partial(ab) = \partial(a)b + a\partial(b)$$

(notice the absence of $(-1)^{|a|}$ in the formula) such that $\partial^p = 0$. Clearly, $\partial(1) = 0$. The H -comodule structure of A is given by

$$\Delta_A(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} X^i \otimes \frac{\partial^i(a)}{i!} = \widetilde{\exp}(X \otimes \partial)a,$$

tilde denoting reduced exponential.

For any such A , the category $A_H - \underline{\text{gmod}}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -graded A -modules modulo homotopies is triangulated $\mathcal{H}$ -module category. The Grothendieck group $K(A_H - \underline{\text{gmod}})$ is naturally an R_p -module.

For those A that are smash products $H \# B$, we can form the derived category $D(B, H)$ as well, by localizing quasi-isomorphisms in $A_H - \underline{\text{gmod}}$. Again, $D(B, H)$ is a triangulated $\mathcal{H}$ -module category, with its Grothendieck group naturally an R_p -module. Due to H being self-dual (up to the grading reversal), to form the smash product $H \# B$ we just need B to have a nilpotent derivation as above, but of degree 1 rather than -1 .

There are plenty of such A 's and B 's, but we don't know whether any of them could lead to a categorification of the conformal block spaces. Corollary 3 hints that a categorification of the conformal blocks on a torus comes from the Auslander algebra of $\mathcal{H}$.

Characteristic 0 case. The category $\mathcal{H}$ admits a characteristic 0 analogue, which we'll now describe. Pick $n > 1$ and a field k of characteristic 0 which contains a primitive n -th root of unity ζ . Let H_n be the k -algebra with generators K, X and relations

$$KX = \zeta XK, \quad K^n = 1, \quad X^n = 0.$$

H_n is a Hopf algebra (known as the Taft Hopf algebra) of dimension n^2 with the comultiplication

$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K, \quad \Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + K \otimes X$$

and the antipode $S(K) = K^{-1}$, $S(X) = -K^{-1}X$.

A left H_n -module M restricts to a module over the subalgebra generated by K , which is the group algebra of the finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Since the field k contains a primitive n -th root of unity, we can decompose

$$M = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_n} M^r, \quad Kx = \zeta^r x, \quad x \in M^r.$$

Then $X : M^r \rightarrow M^{r+1}$ is a nilpotent operator, $X^n = 0$, and M is isomorphic to a direct sum of indecomposable modules $V_i\{j\}$. The latter has a basis $b_j, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{j+i}$ (the indices are taken modulo n) and the action

$$Kb_r = \zeta^r b_r, \quad Xb_r = b_{r+1}, \quad j \leq r < j+i, \quad Xb_{j+i} = 0.$$

Shifting the grading of a module M amounts to tensoring M with one of the one-dimensional modules $V_0\{j\}$. The module V_0 is the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ of the tensor category of H_n -modules. Modules $V_{n-1}\{j\}$ are projective. Recall that $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$ denotes the stable category of finite-dimensional H_n -modules.

Proposition 7 *The Grothendieck ring $K(H_n - \underline{\text{mod}})$ is isomorphic to the ring $R_n = \mathbb{Z}[q]/(1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1})$.*

To write down the split Grothendieck ring of $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$, we introduce fractional grading, allowing shifts by $\{\frac{1}{2}\}$. We can interpret this by assuming that the ground field contains a square root of ζ and adding $K^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ to H_n with the obvious relations $K^{\frac{1}{2}}X = \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}}XK^{\frac{1}{2}}$ etc. Denote by $\tilde{V}_i$ the module $V_i\{\frac{-i}{2}\}$.

Proposition 8 *The split Grothendieck ring of $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$ is naturally isomorphic to the level $n - 2$ Verlinde algebra for $SU(2)$. In $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$ tensor products decompose as follows:*

$$\tilde{V}_i \otimes \tilde{V}_j \cong \bigoplus_{m \in I} \tilde{V}_m,$$

where I is the set $\{|i - j|, |i - j| + 2, \dots, \min(i + j, 2n - i - j - 4)\}$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq n - 2$.

Corollary 4 *For any $Y_1, Y_2 \in H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$ there is an isomorphism $Y_1 \otimes Y_2 \cong Y_2 \otimes Y_1$.*

This corollary by itself implies commutativity of the Grothendieck ring and the split Grothendieck ring of $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$. For $n > 2$, however, H_n does not have a quasi-triangular structure, and the isomorphisms $Y_1 \otimes Y_2 \cong Y_2 \otimes Y_1$ cannot be made functorial.

The category $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$ seems to be the right characteristic zero analogue of the stable category $\mathcal{H}$ from Section 3. Our earlier conjecture about $\mathcal{H}$ being the base category for a categorification of quantum 3-manifold invariants could be restated for $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$. One could look for triangulated categories C over $H_n - \underline{\text{mod}}$ with the Grothendieck group naturally isomorphic to the space of conformal blocks, etc. Unfortunately, the absence of functorial isomorphisms $Y_1 \otimes Y_2 \cong Y_2 \otimes Y_1$ constitutes a serious foundational obstacle. Suppose we could assign an object $\Theta(M)$ to a 3-manifold M such that, for instance, $\Theta(M \# N) \cong \Theta(M) \otimes \Theta(N)$ in a natural way. The permutation diffeomorphism $M \# N \cong N \# M$ would lead to isomorphisms $\Theta(M) \otimes \Theta(N) \cong \Theta(N) \otimes \Theta(M)$ which should be compatible with maps $\Theta(W) : \Theta(M_1) \longrightarrow \Theta(M_2)$ assigned to 4-dimensional cobordisms W between M_1 and M_2 . Nonexistence of functorial isomorphisms $Y_1 \otimes Y_2 \cong Y_2 \otimes Y_1$ apparently precludes such compatibility. Moreover, for composite n the ring R_n is not the cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}[q]/\Psi_n(q)$.

To end on a more uplifting note, we'll mention a relation between H_n comodule-algebras and Kapranov's q -homological algebra. Kapranov [Ka]

considered the category of N -complexes of objects of an abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, where an N -complex is a sequence of objects and morphisms with the composition of any N consecutive morphisms being zero. If $\mathcal{A}$ is defined over a ground ring k which contains a primitive N -th root of unity, an N -complex can be tensored with an N -complex of k -vector spaces. For such $\mathcal{A}$ the notion of chain homotopy for N -complexes makes sense, so that the quotient category of complexes by homotopies can be formed. There exists a version of differential calculus with an N -complex taking place of the usual De Rham complex. Categories of N -complexes have been studied by Dubois-Violette [D-V1], [D-V2], Dubois-Violette and Kerner [D-VK], Kassel and Wambst [KW] and others. Bichon [B] pointed out a link between N -complexes and comodules over an infinite version of the Taft Hopf algebra (with the relation $K^N = 0$ omitted).

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of modules over a k -algebra B . The tensor product $A = H_N \otimes B$ has the trivial structure of an H_N -comodule algebra, with H_N being the Taft Hopf algebra. The category of A -modules is (almost) the category of N -complexes over $B\text{-mod}$, the only difference being in the grading, which is $\mathbb{Z}_N$ in the former case and $\mathbb{Z}$ in the latter. Kapranov's homotopy category is essentially the homotopy category $A_{H_N} - \underline{\text{mod}}$ and his homology groups ${}_p H_i$ can be interpreted via indecomposables in the stable category $H_N - \underline{\text{mod}}$. In particular, the homotopy category of N -complexes of B -modules is triangulated and can be localized by inverting quasi-isomorphisms of N -complexes.

Acknowledgements: I greatly benefited from discussions with Joseph Bernstein, Igor Frenkel, Greg Kuperberg and David Radford. This paper was written, for the most part, at the University of California in Davis. NSF provided partial support via grant DMS-0407784.

5 References

- [BCR] D. J. Benson, J. F. Carlson and J. Rickard, Thick subcategories of the stable module category, *Fund. Math.* **153** (1997), no. 1, 59–80.
- [BL] J. Bernstein and V. Lunts, Equivariant sheaves and functors, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1578, (1994) Springer-Verlag.
- [B] J. Bichon, N -complexes et algèbres de Hopf, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **337** (2003), no. 7, 441–444.
- [Bu] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate-cohomology over Gorenstein rings, preprint (1986).

- [Ca] J. F. Carlson, Modules and group algebras, Notes by Ruedi Suter. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1996.
- [CF] L. Crane and I. B. Frenkel, Four dimensional topological quantum field theory, Hopf categories, and the canonical bases, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 5136-5154, hep-th/9405183.
- [DNR] S. Dascalescu, C. Nastasescu and S. Raianu, Hopf algebras. An introduction. *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **235** Marcel Dekker Inc., New York-Basel, 2001.
- [D-V1] M. Dubois-Violette, $d^N = 0$: Generalized homology, *K-theory* **14** (1998) 371–404, arxiv q-alg/9710021.
- [D-V2] M. Dubois-Violette, Lectures on differentials, generalized differentials and on some examples related to theoretical physics, *Quantum symmetries in theoretical physics and mathematics (Bariloche, 2000)*, 59–94, Contemp. Math. **294**, AMS 2002, arxiv math.QA/0005256.
- [D-VK] M. Dubois-Violette and R. Kerner, Universal q-differential calculus and q-analog of homological algebra, arxiv q-alg/9608026.
- [EGST] K. Erdmann, E. L. Green, N. Snashall and R. Taillefer, Representation theory of the Drinfel'd doubles of a family of Hopf algebras, arxiv math.RT/0410017.
- [FS] R. Farnsteiner and A. Skowronski, Galois actions and blocks of tame infinitesimal group schemes, preprint 2004.
- [GM] S. I. Gelfand and Yu. I. Manin, Methods of homological algebra, 2003.
- [G] P. Gilmer, Integrality for TQFTs, arxiv math.QA/0105059.
- [Ha] D. Happel, Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras, London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. **119**, 1988, Cambridge University Press.
- [HIV] K. Hori, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, D-Branes and mirror symmetry, arxiv hep-th/0005247.
- [Ka] M. Kapranov, On the q-analogue of homological algebra, arxiv q-alg/9611005.
- [KW] C. Kassel and M. Wambst, Algèbre homologique des N-complexes et homologie de Hochschild aux racines de l'unité, arxiv q-alg/9705001.
- [KN] B. Keller and A. Neeman, The connection between May's axioms for a triangulated tensor product and Happel's description of the derived category of the quiver D_4 , *Documenta Mathematica* **7** (2002), 535-560.
- [Kh] M. Khovanov, A categorification of the Jones polynomial, *Duke Math. J.*, **101** (2000), no. 3, 359–426, arxiv math.QA/9908171.
- [Ku] G. Kuperberg, Non-involutory Hopf algebras and 3-manifold invariants, *Duke Math. J.*, **84** (1), 83-129 (1996), arXiv q-alg/9712047.
- [LS] R. G. Larson and M. E. Sweedler, An associative orthogonal bilinear form for Hopf algebras, *Amer. J. Math.* **91** (1969), 75–94.

- [Le] T. T. G. Le, Strong integrality of quantum invariants of 3-manifolds, *math.GT/0512433*.
- [M] S. Majid, Comments on bosonisation and biproduct, *arxiv q-alg/9512028*.
- [MR] G. Masbaum and J. Roberts, A simple proof of integrality of quantum invariants at prime roots of unity, *Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.* 121 (1997) 443-454.
- [Ma] J. P. May, The additivity of traces in triangulated categories, *Advances in Math.* **163**, 34–73 (2001).
- [MVW] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky and C. Weibel, Notes on motivic cohomology, available at <http://math.rutgers.edu/~weibel>
- [Mo] S. Montgomery, Hopf algebras and their actions on rings, AMS 1993.
- [Mu] H. Murakami, Quantum $SO(3)$ invariants dominate the $SU(2)$ invariant of Casson and Walker, *Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc.* 117 (1995) 237–249.
- [Ra] D. E. Radford, The trace function and Hopf algebras, *Journal of algebra* **163** 583–622, (1994).
- [RT] N. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev, Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups, *Invent. Math.* **103**, 547–597 (1991).
- [R] J. Rickard, Derived categories and stable equivalence, *Journal of Pure Appl. Alg.* **61** (1989), 303-317.
- [St] N. P. Strickland, Axiomatic stable homotopy – a survey, preprint *arXiv math.AT/0307143*.
- [V] V. Voevodsky, Homology of schemes and covariant motives, PhD thesis, Harvard University, 1992.
- [We] C. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, 1996.
- [W] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **121**, 351–399 (1989).

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027.
 khovanov@math.columbia.edu